

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/675,135 | BROOKMAN, MICHAEL J. | |

| | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Annette F. Dixon | 3771 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Annette F. Dixon, PTO personnel. (3) _____.
 (2) Michael P. Furmanek, Applicant's representative. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2009

Time: 11:47 A.M.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Please see Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Annette F Dixon/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3771
 /Justine R Yu/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)